IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UN	IITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,		Case Number 8:10CR190
	vs.	DETENTION ORDER
DC	DMINIQUE BENNETT,	
	Defendant.	
A.	Order For Detention _X_ After the defendant waived a determined and the determined and the detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §	e Court orders the above-named defendant
B.	conditions will reasonably assure required. X By clear and convincing evidence	ion because it finds: nce that no condition or combination of the appearance of the defendant as
C.	that which was contained in the Pretrial at X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: Possession Crack Cocaine is a se of 40 years imprisonm (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves a	n with Intent to Distribute 5 Grams or More rious crime and carries a maximum penalty ent.
	(2) The weight of the evidence a (3) The history and characteristic (a) General Factors: The defendant	

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

may affect whether the defendant will appear. The defendant has no family ties in the area. The defendant has no steady employment. The defendant has no substantial financial resources. The defendant is not a long time resident of the community. The defendant does not have any significant community ties. Past conduct of the defendant:	
The defendant has a history relating to drug abuse. The defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. The defendant has a significant prior criminal record. The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings. (b) At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on: Probation	
Parole Supervised Release	
Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.	
(c) Other Factors:	
The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation.	
The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to	
deportation if convicted.	
The Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other:	
(4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows:	
·	
Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (1) A crime of violence; or	

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

	<u>X</u> (2)	An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or
	(2)	
	(3)	
		maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or
	(4)	A felony after the defendant had been convicted of
		two or more prior offenses described in (1) through
		(3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction
		for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3)
		above which is less than five years old and which
		was committed while the defendant was on pretrial
		release.
Y (b)	That no cou	
<u>X</u> (b)		ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably
assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the		• •
	safety of the community because the Court finds that there is	
	probable ca	ause to believe:
	<u>X</u> (1)	That the defendant has committed a controlled
		substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
		10 years or more.
	(2)	That the defendant has committed an offense under
	(/	18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during
		- ', ', '
		and in relation to any crime of violence, including a
		crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced
		punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or
		dangerous weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

- The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel: and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: June 28, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F. A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge